Case 3:07-cv-06060-SI

Document 5

Filed 12/26/2007

Page 1 of 4

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Plaintiff is currently a state inmate incarcerated at Pelican Bay State Prison. On August 20, 2007, Plaintiff filed a civil-rights complaint in Del Norte County Superior Court, in which he claimed that various officials of Pelican Bay State Prison violated his rights. (*See* generally Compl.) On November 30, 2007, Defendants R. Horel, R. Rice, D. Hawkes, M. Pena, R. Moore, K. McGuyer, C. Scavetta, and G. Kelly removed the case to federal court and requested that the Court screen the Complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. (Docket Nos. 1, 2.) In so doing, the Court may dismiss any and all of Plaintiff's claims.

In the interim, Plaintiff has propounded nine sets of discovery on Defendants. (Decl. Ugaz ¶ 2.) On November 30, 2007, defense counsel asked Plaintiff if he would agree to stay discovery pending the Court's screening of his Complaint. (Decl. Ugaz ¶ 3.) Plaintiff refused to do so. (Id.)

Therefore, Defendants respectfully request that the Court stay discovery until it has screened Plaintiff's Complaint because Plaintiff's action may be dismissed in its entirety.

ARGUMENT

DISCOVERY SHOULD BE STAYED PENDING THE COURT'S SCREENING OF PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT.

Courts may issue protective orders to stay discovery for "good cause." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Good cause exists when the "burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit taking into account the needs of the case . . . and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues." *Id.* at 26(b)(2).

Here, the Court should stay discovery because the Court may dismiss this entire cause of action or any of Plaintiff's individual claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. Thus, a stay should issue to prevent the undue burden of Defendants having to supplement responses to any previous discovery, or respond to the new discovery served by Plaintiff.

Before seeking a protective order, parties should "confer or attempt to confer . . . in an effort

Not. Mot. & Mot. Ct. Stay Disc. Pending Screening

J. Morris v. Horel, et al. CV 07-6060 SI

40199897.wpd SF2007403246

27

24

25

26

28

Not. Mot. & Mot. Ct. Stay Disc. Pending Screening

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Case Name: J. Morris v. Horel, et al.

No.: **CV 07-6060 SI**

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On December 26, 2007, I served the attached

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION THAT THE COURT STAY DISCOVERY UNTIL THE COMPLAINT IS SCREENED UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1915A

DECLARATION OF SARA UGAZ IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION THAT THE COURT STAY DISCOVERY UNTIL THE COMPLAINT IS SCREENED UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1915A

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION THAT THE COURT STAY DISCOVERY UNTIL THE COMPLAINT IS SCREENED UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1915A

by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000, San Francisco, CA 94102-7004, addressed as follows:

Jack L. Morris, C-06409 Pelican Bay State Prison P. O. Box 7500 Crescent City, CA 95531-7500 Pro Per

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on **December 26**, 2007, at San Francisco, California.

M.M. Argarin

Declarant

Signature